UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/677,054	10/01/2003	Tom Evslin	449/115	8096
27538 7590 10/17/2008 KAPLAN GILMAN GIBSON & DERNIER L.L.P. 900 ROUTE 9 NORTH			EXAMINER	
			AL AUBAIDI, RASHA S	
WOODBRIDGE, NJ 07095			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/677,054	EVSLIN, TOM				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	RASHA S. AL AUBAIDI	2614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Ju</u>	ulv 2008					
	action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	αιστι πρριισαιιστι				

Application/Control Number: 10/677,054 Page 2

Art Unit: 2614

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. This in response to amendment filed 07/09/2008. No claims have been added. No claims have been canceled. No claims have been amended. Claims 1-35 are still pending in this application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Elliott et at. (US PAT # 6,614,781).

Regarding claims1-2, Elliot teaches a gateway (reads on GTW 110, Fig. 1) for conveying a telephone call to a packet switched data network, the gateway comprising a second gateway (108, Fig. 1) to facilitate call setup.

Elliot does not specifically teach that the first gateway comprises a first interface and a second interface for receiving and transmitting out of bund signaling.

However, having interfaces within the gateway that receive and transmit the out of band signaling it would have been obvious and well known in the art of telephony.

Signals passing through the gateway should be processed by compressing and decompressing those signals. The use of an interface is an essential part of processing signals within the gateway.

Claims 4-5, 7-12, 14-15, 17-18, 20-23, 29 and 34-35 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claims 1-2. The claimed "software" as recited in claim 7 reads on soft switch (204) which is considered an application software program running on a computer. See Figs.4B-4E.

Claims 3, 6, 13, 19, 24 and 30 recite "said out of band signals are SS7 protocol signals" (see col. 4, lines 30-49).

Claim 16 recites "wherein said out of band telephony protocol signaling is SS7 or C7 signaling and said separate call setup protocol is H.323 (see Fig. 58A and corresponding text).

Claims 28, 31 and 33 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claim 16.

For claim 25, which teaches the use of sending IAM (Initial Address Message) see (Fig. 28 and corresponding text.)

For claims 26-27 and 32 that recite the use of ACM (Answer Complete Message) see Fig. 36 and corresponding text.

# Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rasha S AL-Aubaidi whose telephone number is (571) 272-7481. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (571) 272-7488.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

Application/Control Number: 10/677,054 Page 5

Art Unit: 2614

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Rasha S AL-Aubaidi/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614